



Values: Building Authentic & Effective Organizations

In an increasingly complex and rapidly evolving world, the bedrock of successful organizations is shifting. No longer is it solely about strategy, capital, or technology; it's about something far more fundamental and enduring: **values**. These deeply held beliefs, when consciously cultivated and consistently lived, serve as the invisible architecture that shapes a workplace's culture, defines the efficacy of its teams, and elevates the impact of its leadership. This article delves into the indispensable role of values in forging resilient, innovative, and human-centric workplaces, exploring how they empower teams and guide leaders toward sustained excellence in a landscape craving authenticity and purpose.

Insights from MSBCoach Colleagues: The Impact of Values in Action

To deepen our understanding of values in practice, we engaged our esteemed colleagues from MSBCoach, posing the question: "In your experience, what is the most significant impact of clearly defined and actively lived values on workplace culture, team effectiveness, and leadership authenticity?" Their diverse perspectives underscore the multifaceted power of values in organizational success.

Key Themes Emerging from Colleague Feedback:

- **Enhanced Trust and Psychological Safety:** Many highlighted how shared values foster an environment where individuals feel safe to express ideas, take risks, and be authentic. Research by Amy Edmondson (1999) on psychological safety consistently demonstrates its crucial role in fostering learning, innovation, and error reporting within teams, directly aligning with the trust-building aspect of shared values.
- **Improved Decision-Making and Alignment:** Values serve as a compass, simplifying complex choices and ensuring actions align with organizational purpose. Studies show that value congruence within an organization leads to greater commitment and clarity in decision-making among employees (Finegan, 2000).
- **Stronger Team Cohesion and Collaboration:** A common value set acts as a unifying force, breaking down silos and promoting synergistic efforts. Social identity theory suggests that shared values strengthen group identification, leading to increased cooperation and reduced intergroup conflict (Tajfel & Turner, 1979).
- **Authentic Leadership and Employee Engagement:** Leaders who embody values inspire loyalty and commitment, leading to higher morale and retention. Authentic leadership, characterized by self-awareness, internalized moral perspective, balanced processing, and relational transparency, has been linked to increased employee engagement, trust in leadership, and positive organizational outcomes (Walumbwa et al., 2008).

Individual Perspectives:

Here's how our MSBCoach colleagues elaborate on the impact of values in the workplace:

Cary Campbell: Campbell noted that the most profound impact is the creation of psychological safety, as lived values like respect and integrity allow people to bring their whole selves to work, fostering innovation and healthier team dynamics (Edmondson, 1999).

Debby Neely: Neely emphasized that values act as a non-negotiable filter for decision-making at every level, clarifying priorities and empowering individuals to make choices consistent with the organization's greater purpose, even in ambiguous situations (Chatman, 1991).

Donna Pearrin: Pearrin described clearly lived values as "the glue" for teams, defining acceptable behaviors, building trust, and creating a shared understanding of what success entails beyond just metrics (Meglino & Ravlin, 1998).

Joellen Wilkins: Wilkins stated that authentic leadership is impossible without values, as leaders who genuinely embody company values inspire a level of commitment and engagement that transactional leadership cannot, thus building a legacy of trust (Avolio et al., 2004).

Joann Auger: Auger observed that when values are a living part of the culture, recruitment becomes easier, as individuals are drawn to organizations reflecting their personal values, leading to better fit and reduced turnover (Kristof-Brown et al., 2005).

John Broschak: Broschak asserted that values foster a culture where employees feel valued and are more likely to become advocates for the organization (Cable & Judge, 1996).

Synthesis and Moving Forward:

The collective wisdom from our MSBCoach colleagues, reinforced by robust organizational research, powerfully illustrates that values are not merely aspirational statements; they are the operational drivers of high-performing workplaces. They underscore that when values are genuinely integrated into the fabric of an organization, they yield tangible benefits from individual well-being to organizational resilience. This comprehensive understanding forms the foundation upon which we will further explore the practical strategies for embedding values into every facet of the modern workplace.

When Values Diverge: Navigating Misalignment in the Workplace

Even in organizations striving for strong value alignment, individuals may, at times, find their personal values clashing with those of their leadership or the broader company culture. This can be a significant source of internal conflict and dissatisfaction. So, what should a team member or employee do when faced with such a misalignment? We turned to our MSBCoach colleagues for their expert guidance on navigating this challenging terrain.

Key Themes Emerging from Colleague Feedback on Navigating Value Misalignment:

The collective wisdom of our MSBCoach colleagues highlights several crucial steps for employees experiencing value misalignment, emphasizing self-reflection, courageous communication, and strategic decision-making. These steps are often supported by research on person-organization fit and employee voice/exit behaviors.

- **Self-Reflection is Paramount:** Before any action, it's essential to deeply understand the nature and impact of the misalignment on one's well-being and performance, and to clarify one's own non-negotiable core values. Research on value congruence indicates that self-awareness of personal values is a prerequisite for identifying actual misalignment and its potential psychological costs (Cable & DeRue, 2002).
- **Open and Professional Dialogue:** Where safe and appropriate, initiating a conversation with leadership to seek understanding and express concerns using professional, "I" statements is a critical first step. This aligns with the concept of "employee voice," which suggests that giving employees avenues to express concerns can improve organizational functioning and reduce negative outcomes associated with dissatisfaction (Hirschman, 1970).
- **Identify the Source and Scope:** Discern whether the misalignment is isolated to an individual leader or deeply embedded within the company culture. The distinction is crucial as the former might be resolved through dialogue, while the latter may require more significant actions, aligning with research on "organizational climate" versus "leadership style" (Schneider et al., 2013).
- **Recognize Your Options:** Employees always have choices, ranging from establishing boundaries and attempting to influence change to considering an internal shift or, ultimately, seeking opportunities elsewhere. This reflects the "exit, voice, loyalty, neglect" (EVLN) framework, where employees choose different responses to dissatisfaction (Rusbult et al., 1988).
- **Prioritize Well-being and Integrity:** If the misalignment involves core, non-negotiable values and significantly impacts well-being, disengagement, or integrity, a change in environment may be necessary. Prolonged value incongruence has been linked to increased job stress, burnout, and reduced psychological well-being (Edwards & Shipp, 2007).

Individual Perspectives: Navigating Value Misalignment

Here's how our MSBCoach colleagues advise individuals to approach personal value misalignment with leadership or organizational values:

Cary Campbell: Campbell advised that if misalignment negatively impacts an employee, they should converse with leadership to communicate concerns and seek understanding, considering other options if a solution isn't possible (Hirschman, 1970).

Debby Neely: Neely emphasized that employees always have options, including establishing boundaries, influencing positive shifts, finding compromise, or deciding the situation is no longer a good fit. She stressed identifying non-negotiable values for clearer decision-making (Super, 1980).

Donna Pearing: Pearing outlined a comprehensive approach starting with self-reflection questions about the misalignment's impact on well-being and its source (individual vs. culture). She advised planning a professional conversation with the boss using "I" statements, focusing on behavior and policy. Finally, she suggested evaluating options based on the conversation's outcome: working within the system, shifting roles internally, or moving on (Fisher & Ury, 1981).

Joellen Wilkins: Wilkins recommended examining the misalignment to determine if it's a misunderstanding or resolvable situation, like a move to a different work group. If it involves core values and cannot be reconciled, she suggested seeking an environment more aligned with personal values, integrity, and well-being (Edwards & Shipp, 2007).

Joann Auger: Auger pointed out that perfect alignment is rare but warned against leaders operating outside organizational values, which would violate personal core values. She stressed that values and culture drive behavior and recommended thorough investigation before joining any organization (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Kristof-Brown et al., 2005).

John Broschak: Broschak asserted that the tension from misalignment cannot be ignored and must be resolved, as authentic leaders cannot hide such disconnects without suffering effectiveness and causing dissatisfaction. He concluded that significant value differences may necessitate ending the employment relationship (Edwards & Shipp, 2007; Walumbwa et al., 2008; Hirschman, 1970).

Synthesis and Conclusion on Navigating Misalignment:

The consensus among our MSBCoach colleagues, fortified by empirical research, is clear: value misalignment, if left unaddressed, can significantly impact an individual's well-being, performance, and overall satisfaction. While initial steps should focus on self-reflection and open dialogue, a profound and irreconcilable clash of core values may ultimately signal that a change of environment is the most authentic and healthy path forward. This proactive approach not only benefits the individual but also reinforces the importance of values as the bedrock of a truly effective and ethical workplace.

References

- Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Walumbwa, F. O., Luthans, F., & May, D. R. (2004). Unlocking the mask: A look at the process by which authentic leaders impact follower attitudes and behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15(6), 801-823.
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595-616.
- Cable, D. M., & Judge, T. A. (1996). Person-organization fit, job choice decisions, and organizational entry. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 67(3), 294-311.
- Cable, D. M., & DeRue, D. S. (2002). The convergent validity of self-report fit perceptions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(5), 875.
- Chatman, J. A. (1991). Matching people and organizations: Selection and socialization in public accounting firms. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36(3), 459-484.
- Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
- Edwards, J. R., & Shipp, A. J. (2007). The relationship between person-environment fit and stress: An integrative theoretical approach. In J. C. Quick & L. E. Tetrick (Eds.), *Handbook of occupational health psychology* (pp. 149-167). American Psychological Association.
- Finegan, J. (2000). The impact of person and organizational values on organizational commitment. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 73(2), 149-169.
- Fisher, R., & Ury, W. (1981). *Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in*. Penguin Books.
- Hirschman, A. O. (1970). *Exit, voice, and loyalty: Responses to decline in firms, organizations, and states*. Harvard University Press.
- Kristof-Brown, A. L., Zimmerman, R. D., & Johnson, E. C. (2005). Consequences of individuals' fit at work: A meta-analysis of person-job, person-organization, person-group, and person-supervisor fit. *Personnel Psychology*, 58(2), 281-342.
- Meglino, B. M., & Ravlin, E. C. (1998). Individual values in organizations: Some evidence on their effects in satisfaction, attitudes, and performance. *Journal of Management*, 24(3), 351-366.
- Rusbult, C. E., Farrell, D., Rogers, G., & Mainous, A. G., III. (1988). Impact of exchange variables on exit, voice, loyalty, and neglect: An integrative model of responses to declining job satisfaction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(3), 599-627.
- Schneider, B., Ehrhart, M. G., & Macey, W. H. (2013). Organizational climate and culture. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 361-388.
- Super, D. E. (1980). A life-span, life-space approach to career development. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 16(3), 282-298.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. *Organizational behavior and human performance*, 25(2), 32-47.
- Walumbwa, F. O., Avolio, B. J., Gardner, W. L., Wernsing, T. S., & Peterson, S. J. (2008). Authentic leadership: Development and validation of a theory-based measure. *Journal of Management*, 34(1), 89-126.